United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITE /.	D ST	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Bernard Wogoman			Case Number: 1:07 MJ 340
acts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § the detention of the defendant pending trial in the	\S 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following his case.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense desconfense state or local offense that would ha jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. an offense for which the maximum sentence.	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compa The offense described in finding (1) was commor local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapse imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebutta	uitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state ed since the defendant from □ release of the defendant from
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the definition of the state of	nate Findings (A) endant has committee an offense at of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumptic will reasonably assure the appearance of the d	on established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions defendant as required and the safety of the community.
X		There is a serious risk that the defendant will n	nate Findings (B) ot appear. ndanger the safety of another person or the community.
	I fin	Part II – Written Stat	tement of Reasons for Detention bmitted at the hearing establish by
gar cra has	endar ng tha ck co	nt is a 24 year old unemployed man with no work it is deeply involved in the drug trade. KVET office caine from defendant over a two-week period in ng criminal history, including convictions for assa	c history and no visible means of support. He is a member of a Kalamazoo cers, using a confidential informant, executed three controlled purchases of May 2007, and there is evidence of his participation in other drug sales. He ultive crimes and drug possession. He has a history of failing to appear for
appeal. he Uni	ions f . The ted S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the A acility separate, to the extent practicable, from p defendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppo- tates or on request of an attorney for the Govern	tions Regarding Detention ttorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending rtunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court or ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the mappearance in connection with a court proceeding.
May 30, 2007			/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
Date			Signature of Judge
			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge
			Name and Title of Judge